Digital Power & Politics

Matthew Gentzkow Stanford University

Most Important News Source (2016)



How do social media affect the distribution of political news and information?

1. "Theory"

2. Experimental evidence

"Theory"



Echo Chambers circa 2008

IDEOLOGICAL SEGREGATION ONLINE AND OFFLINE*

MATTHEW GENTZKOW AND JESSE M. SHAPIRO

We use individual and aggregate data to ask how the Internet is changing the ideological segregation of the American electorate. Focusing on online news consumption, offline news consumption, and face-to-face social interactions, we define ideological segregation in each domain using standard indices from the literature on racial segregation. We find that ideological segregation of online news consumption is low in absolute terms, higher than the segregation of most offline news consumption, and significantly lower than the segregation of face-to-face interactions with neighbors, co-workers, or family members. We find no evidence that the Internet is becoming more segregated over time. *JEL* Codes: D83, L86.

The Quarterly Journal of Economics

Media



Face to Face Social Networks



Two Key Forces

- 1. Most people get news from big, brand-name sites
- 2. The only people who go to extreme sites are heavy users, and so they also see non-extreme sites as well

Should social media be any different?

Social media...

- Filters content through your *social network,* which we saw above is highly segregated
- Makes sources less important

• Exposes even light users to niche content



Exposure to ideologically diverse news and opinion on Facebook

Eytan Bakshy,¹*+ Solomon Messing,¹+ Lada A. Adamic^{1,2}



Fig. 1. Distribution of ideological alignment of content shared on Facebook measured as the average affiliation of sharers weighted by the total number of shares. Content was delineated as liberal, conservative, or neutral on the basis of the distribution

on the basis of the distribution of alignment scores (details are available in the supplementary materials).



Bottom Line

 Digital media need not exacerbate segregation and polarization

 But the structure of *social* media platforms make them likely to do so 2

Experiment

Allcott, Braghieri, Eichmeyer & Gentzkow 2019

Randomized experiment: Paid users to deactivate Facebook for 4 weeks before the US 2018 midterm election

Individual effects

- Substitute time uses
- Happiness
- Post-experiment use & valuation

Broader social impacts

- News knowledge
- Voting
- Political polarization

Timeline (2018)

Sept 24 – Oct 3: Recruitment, pre-screen, and baseline

Oct 11: Midline

Nov 8: Endline

Dec 3: Post-endline

Recruitment



 Quotas: attempt to be representative of Facebook users age ≥ 18 on gender, age, college, and political ideology



Phase	Sample size
Recruitment	N=1,690,076 were shown ads
and baseline	N=30,064 clicked on ads
	N=2,897 consented and had valid baseline
Midline	N=2,743 finished midline, of which:
	N=1,661 were in impact evaluation sample
Endline	N=2,684 finished endline, of which:
	N=1,637 were in impact evaluation sample
Post-endline	N=2,067 reported Facebook mobile app use, of which:
	N=1,219 were in impact evaluation sample

Substitution





News Knowledge



Polarization



Bottom Line

- Facebook makes people more informed
- Facebook makes people more polarized